

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JAN 27 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SUSANO ARVIZO RAMIREZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

V.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75653

Agency Nos. A95-302-175

A95-302-176

A95-102-177

A95-102-178

**MEMORANDUM**\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 23, 2006 \*\*

Before: T.G. NELSON, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-75653

to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard); *see also Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144-45 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.